

Scattering theory below energy for the cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation

Changxing Miao¹, Haigen Wu², Junyong Zhang²

¹ Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics

P. O. Box 8009, Beijing, China, 100088

² The Graduate School of China Academy of Engineering Physics

P. O. Box 2101, Beijing, China, 100088

(miao_changxing@iapcm.ac.cn, wuhaigen@gmail.com, zhangjunyong111@sohu.com)

Abstract

We investigate the global existence and scattering for the Fourth-order Schrödinger equation in the low regularity space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s < 2$. We provide an alternative approach to obtain a new Morawetz interactive estimate and extend the range of the dimension of the interactive estimate in Pausader [28] by modifying a tensor product method appeared in [8].

Key Words: Fourth-order Schrödinger equation, Low regularity, Strichartz-type estimate, Global well-posedness.

AMS Classification: 35Q40, 35Q55, 47J35.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with the global well-posedness and scattering theory in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the Cauchy problem for the following defocusing cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u + |u|^2 u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $0 < s < 2$ and $5 \leq n \leq 7$. And we also remark that this equation is corresponded to energy critical case and mass critical case when $n = 8$ and $n = 4$, respectively.

Fourth-order Schrödinger equations have been introduced by Karpman [17] and Karpman and Shagalov [18] to take into account the role of small fourth-order dispersion terms in the propagation of intense laser beams in a bulk medium with Kerr nonlinearity. Such fourth-order Schrödinger equations have been studied from the mathematical viewpoint in Fibich, Ilan and Papanicolaou [11] who describe various properties of the equation in the subcritical regime, with part of their analysis relying on very interesting numerical developments. Related references are by Ben-Artzi, Koch, and Saut [6] who gave sharp dispersive estimates for the biharmonic Schrödinger operator which lead to

the Strichartz estimates for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation, see also [25, 27, 28]. Guo and Wang [12] who prove global well-posedness and scattering in H^s for small data. Nonlinear Schrödinger equations with third or fourth order anisotropic term have been discussed in Bocchel [2], for other special fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger equation, please refer to [30, 14, 15]. We refer also to Pausader [27] where the energy critical case for radially symmetrical initial data is discussed and Miao, Xu and Zhao [23, 24] simultaneously and independently obtained scattering theory for the radially symmetrical initial data by using argument developed in Killip and Visan [19]. Miao and Zhang [25] showed the global well-posedness of the general high order Schrödinger equation with defocusing nonlinearity. We also can refer to Pausader [28] for the aim of finding a more completed result on the cubic fourth-order Schrödinger equation for initial data $u_0 \in H^2$ without radial assumption. However, very little seems to be known about the existence and scattering theory for fourth-order Schrödinger equation with large initial data in a below energy space.

In this article, we prove the global well-posedness and scattering theory of (1.1) in the lower regularity space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $s < 2$, and those extend the global existence theory and scattering result of Pausader [28] to the low regularity space. A main ingredient in this paper is the new interaction Morawetz estimate for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *The initial value problem (1.1) is globally-well-posed from data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s > s_0$ and $5 \leq n \leq 7$. In addition, there is a scattering for these solutions. Here*

$$s_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{16(n-4)}{7n-24} & n = 5, 6 \\ \frac{45}{23} & n = 7. \end{cases}$$

The *I*-team [10] introduced a new Morawetz interaction potential for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$M[u(t)] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u(t, x)|^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Im[\bar{u}(t, y) \nabla u(t, y)] \cdot \frac{x - y}{|x - y|} dy \right) dx. \quad (1.2)$$

This is a generalization of the classical Morawetz potential, which has been studied in many literatures especially regarding on the dispersive property of the Schrödinger equations [4, 5, 13, 21, 22, 26]. The above functional (1.2) generates a new space-time $L^4_{t,x}$ estimate for the defocusing Schrödinger equation with the general power nonlinearity. Incorporating this with the almost conservation law, they showed that the scattering of the equation and relaxed the low regularity assumption given in the previous work [9]. Two important conserved quantities of equation (1.1) are the mass and the energy. The mass is defined by

$$M(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t)|^2 dx, \quad (1.3)$$

and the $\dot{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ solutions enjoy the following energy conservation

$$E(u)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} |\Delta u(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{4} |u(t, x)|^4 dx = E(u)(t_0). \quad (1.4)$$

However the energy (1.4) of the $\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($s < 2$) solution can be infinite. The almost conservation law approach allows us to monitor the energy of Iu instead of a rough solution u , where I is a smoothing operator approximating to the identity as passing to limit argument. In [9], this approach yields that $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)}$ is bounded polynomially in time for the cubic Schrödinger equation, and the solution is globally well-posed if $s > \frac{5}{6}$. The regularity threshold is loosened to $\frac{4}{5}$ in [10] due to the above mentioned new $L^4_{t,x}$ space-time estimate. All of these show that the interaction Morawetz plays an effective role in solving the less regularity problem. In this paper, we will establish a interaction Morawetz and the almost conservation law for the defocusing fourth-order Schrödinger equation in the framework of I -method to prove the global existence and scattering theory. We remark that the Morawetz interaction estimate obtained by Pausader [28] only for $n \geq 7$. Inspired by [8], we provide an alternative approach to get a Morawetz interactive estimate and extend to Pausader's result to $n \geq 5$. However, there are some differences and difficulties needed to be overcome and gotten around to derive the interactive estimate for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation. It is well-known that the Schrödinger equation satisfies the following two local conservation laws. The first one is the local mass conservation $\partial_t T_{00} + \partial_j T_{0j} = 0$ and the other one is local momentum conservation $\partial_t T_{j0} + \partial_k T_{jk} = 0$ where $T_{00} = \frac{1}{2}|u|^2$ is the mass density and $T_{0j} = T_{j0} = \Im(\bar{u}\partial_j u)$ is the momentum density and the quantity

$$T_{jk} = 2\Re(\partial_j u \partial_k \bar{u}) + \delta_{jk} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta(|u|^2) + \frac{p-1}{p+1}|u|^{p+1} \right)$$

is the momentum current or stress tensor [31]. However, the two above mentioned local conservation laws do not hold for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation and we shall utilize a modification of the argument in [8] to obtain the interactive estimate for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the Strichartz estimate for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation and prove a local well-posedness of (1.1) in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > \frac{n}{2} - 2$ by the standard fixed point theorem. Section 3 provides an alternative approach to obtain the new Morawetz interaction estimate. In Section 4, we prove the almost conservation law for (1.1) by following the separating frequency interaction strategy in [10]. In Section 5, the almost conservation law, the Morawetz interaction inequality and a scaled bootstrap argument give a uniform bound on $\|u(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and the finiteness of $\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}} u \|_{L^4_{t,x}}$. The scattering assertion follows from the uniform bounds.

We conclude this introduction by setting some notations that will be frequently used in this paper. If X, Y are nonnegative quantities, we sometimes use $X \lesssim Y$ or $X = O(Y)$ to denote the estimate $X \leq CY$ for some C . Pairs of conjugate indices are written as p and p' with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. We let $L^r = L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the usual Lebesgue spaces. Given Lebesgue space exponents q, r and a function $u(t, x)$ on $I \times \mathbb{R}^n$ with $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we write that

$$\|u\|_{L^q(I; L^r)} = \left(\int_I \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(t, x)|^r dx \right)^{\frac{q}{r}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

When there is no risk of confusion, we may shortened this norm to $L_t^q L_x^r$ for readability, or to $L_{t,x}^r$ when $q = r$.

2 The Strichartz Estimates and Local Well-Posedness

The Strichartz estimates involve the following definitions:

Definition 2.1. A pair of Lebesgue space exponents (q, r) are called Schrödinger admissible for $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, or denote by $(q, r) \in \Lambda_0$ when $q, r \geq 2, (q, r, n) \neq (2, \infty, 2)$, and

$$\frac{2}{q} = n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{r}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

Definition 2.2. A pair of Lebesgue space exponents (γ, ρ) are called biharmonic admissible for $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, or denote by $(\gamma, \rho) \in \Lambda_1$ when $\gamma, \rho \geq 2, (\gamma, \rho, n) \neq (2, \infty, 4)$, and

$$\frac{4}{\gamma} = n\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\rho}\right). \quad (2.2)$$

Proposition 2.1 (Strichartz estimates for Fourth-order Schrödinger [6, 25, 27, 28]). Let $s \geq 0$. Suppose that $u(t, x)$ is a (weak) solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} (i\partial_t + \Delta^2)u(t, x) = F(t, x), & (t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3, \\ u(0) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

for some data u_0 and $T > 0$. Then we have the Strichartz estimate, for $(q, r), (a, b) \in \Lambda_0$

$$\| |\nabla|^s u \|_{L^q([0, T]; L^r)} \lesssim \| |\nabla|^{s-\frac{2}{q}} u_0 \|_{L^2} + \| |\nabla|^{s-\frac{2}{q}-\frac{2}{a}} F \|_{L^{a'}([0, T]; L^{b'})}, \quad (2.3)$$

and for $(\gamma, \rho), (c, d) \in \Lambda_1$

$$\| u \|_{L^\gamma([0, T]; L^\rho)} \lesssim \| u_0 \|_{L^2} + \| F \|_{L^{c'}([0, T]; L^{d'})}. \quad (2.4)$$

As a directive consequence of the Strichartz estimate (2.3) and Sobolev's inequality, we have that

$$\| \Delta u \|_{L^q([0, T]; L^r)} \lesssim \| \Delta u_0 \|_{L^2} + \| \nabla F \|_{L^2([0, T]; L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})}, \quad (2.5)$$

where (q, r) is a any biharmonic admissible pair as in (2.2).

The local existence theorem of (1.1) is as follows.

Proposition 2.2 (Local Well-Posedness). Given any initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\frac{n}{2} - 2 \leq s \leq 2$, then there exists $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^{q_0}([0, T]; \dot{H}_{r_0}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^{q_1}([0, T]; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n))$ of (1.1) for $(q_0, r_0) \in \Lambda_0$ and $(q_1, r_1) \in \Lambda_1$.

Proof. The proof is carried out by the standard fixed point theorem together with the Strichartz estimate[25]. For the sake of the convenience and completeness, we merely sketch the proof for the subcritical case with $n \geq 5$. To solve the equation (1.1) is equivalent to solve the following integral equation

$$u(t) = e^{it\Delta^2} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta^2} |u|^2 u(\tau) d\tau.$$

Let

$$X_T = C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap X_0 \cap X_1$$

where

$$X_0 = \bigcap_{(q_0, r_0) \in \Lambda_0} L^{q_0}([0, T]; \dot{H}_{r_0}^s(\mathbb{R}^n))$$

and

$$X_1 = \bigcap_{(q_1, r_1) \in \Lambda_1} L^{q_1}([0, T]; L^{r_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Let us define the Strichartz norm which is adapt to the Strichartz estimate in Proposition 2.1

$$\|u\|_{X_T} := \|u\|_{C([0, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^n))} + \sup_{(q_0, r_0) \in \Lambda_0} \| |\nabla|^{s+\frac{2}{q_0}} u \|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{r_0}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{(q_1, r_1) \in \Lambda_1} \|u\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_x^{r_1}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (2.6)$$

and a set

$$X := \{u : \|u\|_{X_T} \leq 4C\|u_0\|_{H^s}\}, \quad (2.7)$$

and then we choose the space (X, d) with metric $d(u, v) = \|u - v\|_{L^{\frac{16}{n}}([0, T]; L^4)}$ as a resolution space. Then the solution map

$$A : u \mapsto e^{it\Delta^2} u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{i(t-\tau)\Delta^2} |u|^2 u(\tau) d\tau$$

is well defined for all $u \in X$ when T is small enough.

Now we prove this claim. Actually, the Strichartz estimate yields that

$$\|A(u)\|_{X_T} \leq 2C\|u_0\|_{H^s} + C\| |\nabla|^{s-1}(|u|^2 u) \|_{L^2([0, T]; L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} + C\||u|^2 u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{16-n}}([0, T]; L^{\frac{4}{3}})}.$$

Thus the claim is reduce to prove the following nonlinear estimate for some $\alpha > 0$:

$$\||u|^2 u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{16-n}}([0, T]; L^{\frac{4}{3}})} + \| |\nabla|^{s-1}(|u|^2 u) \|_{L^2([0, T]; L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} \leq T^\alpha \|u\|_{X_T}^3. \quad (2.8)$$

On one hand, the Hölder inequality gives

$$\||u|^2 u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{16-n}}([0, T]; L^{\frac{4}{3}})} \leq T^{1-\frac{n}{8}} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{n}}([0, T]; L^4)} \leq T^{1-\frac{n}{8}} \|u\|_{X_T}^3. \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, under the assumption $\frac{n}{2} - 2 < s < 2$, it follows from Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding in the case $1 \leq s < 2$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |||\nabla|^{s-1}(|u|^2 u)|||_{L^2([0,T];L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} &\leq T^\theta |||\nabla|^{s-1} u|||_{L^{\frac{8}{n-4}}([0,T];L^n)} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{n-4s}}([0,T];L^4)}^2 \\ &\leq T^\theta |||\nabla|^{s+\frac{n-4}{4}} u|||_{L^{\frac{8}{n-4}}([0,T];L^{\frac{4n}{n+4}})} |||\nabla|^{\frac{4s+n}{8}} u|||_{L^{\frac{16}{n-4s}}([0,T];L^{\frac{8n}{4s+3n}})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and in the case $\frac{n}{2} - 2 < s < 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} |||\nabla|^{s-1}(|u|^2 u)|||_{L^2([0,T];L^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} &\leq |||\nabla|^s(|u|^2 u)|||_{L^2([0,T];L^{\frac{2n}{n+1}})} \\ &\leq T^\theta |||\nabla|^s u|||_{L^{\frac{8}{n-4}}([0,T];L^{\frac{n}{2}})} \|u\|_{L^{\frac{16}{n-4s}}([0,T];L^4)}^2 \\ &\leq T^\theta |||\nabla|^{s+\frac{n-4}{4}} u|||_{L^{\frac{8}{n-4}}([0,T];L^{\frac{4n}{n+4}})} |||\nabla|^{\frac{4s+n}{8}} u|||_{L^{\frac{16}{n-4s}}([0,T];L^{\frac{8n}{4s+3n}})}^2, \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta = \frac{2s+4-n}{4} > 0$. Keeping in mind the norm of X_T , then (2.8) follows from the above estimate and (2.9). It can be similarly argued that A is a contraction under the metric $d(u, v)$. The existence and uniqueness assertion in (X, d) follow from the fixed point theorem. Finally, we prove this local well-posedness proposition. $\square$

3 The Interaction Morawetz Estimate in dimension $n \geq 5$.

We adopt the convention that repeated indices are summed throughout this section. Also, for f, g two differentiable functions, we define the mass and the momentum brackets by

$$\{f, g\}_m = \Im(f\bar{g}) \quad \text{and} \quad \{f, g\}_p = \Re(f\nabla\bar{g} - g\nabla\bar{f}).$$

Given a smoothed real valued function $a(x)$, we define the Morawetz action $M_a(t)$ by

$$M_a(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j a(x) \Im(\bar{u}(x) \partial_j u(x)) dx. \quad (3.1)$$

Proposition 3.1 (The Variation Rate of Morawetz Action). *If u solves (1.1), then the Morawetz action $M_a(t)$ satisfies the identity*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M_a(t) = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} &\left(2\partial_{jk} \Delta a \partial_j u \partial_k \bar{u} - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta^3 a) |u|^2 - 4\partial_{jk} a \partial_{ik} u \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \right. \\ &\left. + \Delta^2 a |\nabla u|^2 - \partial_j a \{ |u|^2 u, u \}_p^j \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\Im(z) = -\Re(iz)$, then it follows from the equation (1.1) that

$$\Im(\partial_t \bar{u} \partial_j u) = \Re(-i \partial_t \bar{u} \partial_j u) = -\Re((\Delta^2 \bar{u} + |u|^2 \bar{u}) \partial_j u),$$

and

$$\Im(\bar{u} \partial_j \partial_t u) = \Re(-i \bar{u} \partial_j \partial_t u) = \Re(\partial_j (\Delta^2 u + |u|^2 u) \bar{u}).$$

Hence, a direction computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t M_a(t) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j a \Re(\bar{u} \partial_j \Delta^2 u - \partial_j u \Delta^2 \bar{u}) dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 u, u\}_p^j dx \\
&= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Delta a \Re(\bar{u} \Delta^2 u) dx - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Re(\partial_j a \partial_j \bar{u} \Delta^2 u) dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 u, u\}_p^j dx \\
&:= I_1 + I_2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 u, u\}_p^j dx
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On one hand, we can see that from the integrate by part

$$I_1 = 2 \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta^3 a |u|^2 + \Delta^2 a |\nabla u|^2 + \partial_{jk} \Delta a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_k u - \Delta a |\partial_{jk} u|^2 \right) dx. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, after a long length and careful computation, we also have that

$$I_2 = -4 \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\partial_{ijk} a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u + 2 \partial_{jk} a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u - \frac{1}{2} \Delta a |\partial_{jk} u|^2 \right) dx. \tag{3.4}$$

Observe that

$$\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ijk} a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx = -\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{jk} \Delta a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx - \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ijk} a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx$$

and

$$\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ijk} a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ijk} a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx,$$

thus it follows that

$$\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{ijk} a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx = -\frac{1}{2} \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_{jk} \Delta a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u dx \tag{3.5}$$

Collecting (3.2)-(3.5), it finally yields Proposition 3.1. $\square$

We now derive a correlation estimate that is very useful in studying the global well-posedness and the scattering properties of fourth-order Schrödinger equations.

Proposition 3.2 (Correlation Estimate for Fourth-Order Schrödinger Equation). *If u solves (1.1) on $[0, T]$, then we have the following interactive Morawetz estimate that*

$$\|u\|_{M([0, T])}^4 \lesssim \sup_{[0, T]} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{3.6}$$

where $\|u\|_{M([0, T])} := \| |\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}} u(x) \|_{L^4([0, T]; L^4)}.$

Proof. Inspired by [8], we also introduce tensor product to derive a correlation estimate for the fourth-order Schrödinger equation. Let u be solution to

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta^2)u = F(u)$$

in n -spatial dimensions and v be solution to

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta^2)v = F(v)$$

in m -spatial dimensions. Define the tensor product $w := (u \otimes v)(t, z)$ for z in

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+m} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

by the formula

$$(u \otimes v)(t, z) = u(t, x)v(t, y).$$

One can check that $w = u \otimes v$ solves the equation

$$(i\partial_t + \Delta^2)w = F(u) \otimes v + F(v) \otimes u \quad (3.7)$$

where $\Delta^2 \triangleq \Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2$. Now we define the Morawetz action $M_a^{\otimes 2}(t)$ corresponding to $w = u \otimes v$ by

$$\begin{aligned} M_a^{\otimes 2}(t) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \nabla a(z) \cdot \Im(\overline{u \otimes v}(z) \nabla(u \otimes v)(z)) dz \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \nabla a(z) \cdot \Im(\bar{w}(z) \nabla(w)(z)) dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \nabla_y)$. We now repeat the process of proving Proposition 3.1 but more complicated to reach our purpose. Also, it follows from the equation (3.7) that

$$\Im(\partial_t \bar{w} \partial_j w) = \Re(-i \partial_t \bar{w} \partial_j w) = -\Re((\Delta^2 \bar{w} + |u|^2 \bar{u} \bar{v} + |v|^2 \bar{u} \bar{v}) \partial_j w),$$

and

$$\Im(\bar{w} \partial_j \partial_t w) = \Re(-i \bar{w} \partial_j \partial_t w) = \Re(\partial_j (\Delta^2 w + |u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv) \bar{w}).$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t M_a^{\otimes 2}(t) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \Re(\bar{w} \partial_j \Delta^2 w - \partial_j w \Delta^2 \bar{w}) dz - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j dz \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \Delta a \Re(\bar{w} \Delta^2 w) + 2 \Re(\partial_j a \partial_j \bar{w} \Delta^2 w) dz - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j dz \\ &:= II_1 + II_2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

A directional computation of expanding $\Delta^2 w$ in II_1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 = & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \Delta a \Re(\bar{u}(x) \Delta_x^2 u(x) |v(y)|^2) dz \\ & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \Delta a \Re(\bar{v}(y) \Delta_y^2 v(y) |u(x)|^2) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

In addition, for our purpose, we break the second term into several pieces as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} II_2 = & -4\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a (\partial_j \bar{u}(x) \bar{v}(y) + \bar{u}(x) \partial_j \bar{v}(y)) (\Delta_x^2 u(x) v(y) + u(x) \Delta_y^2 v(y)) dz \\ = & -4\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \partial_j \bar{u}(x) \Delta_x^2 u(x) |v(y)|^2 dz - 4\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \partial_j \bar{u}(x) \bar{v}(y) u(x) \Delta_y^2 v(y) dz \\ & -4\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \bar{u}(x) \partial_j \bar{v}(y) \Delta_x^2 u(x) v(y) dz - 4\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \partial_j a \partial_j \bar{v}(y) \Delta_y^2 v(y) |u(x)|^2 dz \\ := & II_2^{(1)} + II_2^{(2)} + II_2^{(3)} + II_2^{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$II_2^{(2)} = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \Delta_x a \bar{v}(y) \Delta_y^2 v(y) |u(x)|^2 dz$$

and

$$II_2^{(3)} = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \Delta_y a \bar{u}(x) \Delta_x^2 u(x) |v(y)|^2 dz,$$

thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & II_1 + II_2^{(2)} + II_2^{(3)} \\ = & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(\Delta_x a \Re(\bar{u}(x) \Delta_x^2 u(x) |v(y)|^2) + \Delta_y a \Re(\bar{v}(y) \Delta_y^2 v(y) |u(x)|^2) \right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can follow the computation of I_1 in (3.3) and see that the right hand of the above can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_x^3 a |u|^2 + \Delta_x^2 a |\nabla u|^2 + \partial_{jk}^x \Delta_x a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_k u - \Delta_x a |\partial_{jk} u|^2 \right) |v(y)|^2 dz \\ & + 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \Delta_y^3 a |v|^2 + \Delta_y^2 a |\nabla v|^2 + \partial_{jk}^y \Delta_y a \partial_j \bar{v} \partial_k v - \Delta_y a |\partial_{jk} v|^2 \right) |u(x)|^2 dz, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where ∂_{jk}^x denote the the second order derivative with respect to x_j and x_k . We also follow the computation of I_2 to obtain that

$$II_2^{(1)} = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(-4\partial_{jk}^x a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u + \partial_{jk}^x \Delta_x a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_k u + \Delta_x a |\partial_{jk} u|^2 \right) |v(y)|^2 dz \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$II_2^{(4)} = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} (-4\partial_{jk}^y a \partial_{ij} \bar{v} \partial_{ik} v + \partial_{jk}^y \Delta_y a \partial_j \bar{v} \partial_k v + \Delta_y a |\partial_{jk} v|^2) |u(x)|^2 dz. \quad (3.13)$$

Collecting (3.11)-(3.13), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 + II_2 = 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} & \left(2(\partial_{jk}^x \Delta_x a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_k u |v|^2 + \partial_{jk}^y \Delta_y a \partial_j \bar{v} \partial_k v |u|^2) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_x^3 + \Delta_y^3) a |uv|^2 + (\Delta_x^2 a |\nabla u|^2 |v|^2 + \Delta_y^2 a |\nabla v|^2 |u|^2) \\ & \left. - 4(\partial_{jk}^x a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u |v|^2 + \partial_{jk}^y a \partial_{ij} \bar{v} \partial_{ik} v |u|^2) \right) dz. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Observe that if $a(z) = a(x, y) = |x - y|$, we have for $n \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_x a &= \Delta_y a = (n-1)|x-y|^{-1}, \\ \Delta_x^2 a &= \Delta_y^2 a = -(n-1)(n-3)|x-y|^{-3}, \\ \Delta_x^3 a &= \Delta_y^3 a = \begin{cases} C\delta(x-y), & n=5, \\ 3(n-1)(n-3)(n-5)|x-y|^{-5}, & n \geq 6, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{jk}^x a &= \partial_{jk}^y a = |x-y|^{-1} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|^2} \right), \\ \partial_{jk}^x \Delta_x a &= \partial_{jk}^y \Delta_y a = -(n-1)|x-y|^{-3} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{3(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, for $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$ a vector, and u a function, we define

$$\nabla_e u = (e \cdot \nabla u) \frac{e}{|e|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_e^\perp u = \nabla u - \nabla_e u.$$

Therefore, for $e = x - y$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\partial_{jk}^x \Delta_x a \partial_j \bar{u} \partial_k u &= -2(n-1)|x-y|^{-3} |\nabla_e^\perp u|^2 + 4(n-1)|x-y|^{-3} |\nabla_e u|^2 \\ \Delta_x^2 a |\nabla u|^2 &= -(n-1)(n-3)|x-y|^{-3} |\nabla u|^2 \\ -4\partial_{jk}^x a \partial_{ij} \bar{u} \partial_{ik} u &= -4|x-y|^{-1} \sum_i (|\nabla \partial_i u|^2 - |\nabla_e \partial_i u|^2) \leq \frac{-4(n-1)}{|x-y|^3} |\nabla_e u|^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we make use of the following estimate in the last inequality, as shown in Levan-dosky and Strauss [20] and [27]

$$\sum_i (|\nabla \partial_i u|^2 - |\nabla_e \partial_i u|^2) \geq \frac{(n-1)}{|x-y|^2} |\nabla_e u|^2.$$

Making a similar argument for other terms, we finally control $\partial_t M_a^{\otimes 2}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t M_a^{\otimes 2} \leq & 2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(-\frac{2(n-1)}{|x-y|^3} (|\nabla_e^\perp u|^2 |v|^2 + |\nabla_e^\perp v|^2 |u|^2) \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta_x^3 + \Delta_y^3) a |uv|^2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)}{|x-y|^3} (|\nabla u|^2 |v|^2 + |u|^2 |\nabla v|^2) \\ & \left. - 2\partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j \right) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Again through dropping some negative terms, we can dominate the right hand part by

$$2\Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (\Delta_x^3 + \Delta_y^3) a |uv|^2 - 2\partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j \right) dz.$$

Hence, we get that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} ((\Delta_x^3 + \Delta_y^3) a |uv|^2 + 4\partial_j a \{|u|^2 uv + |v|^2 uv, w\}_p^j) dz dt \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}|,$$

that is

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^m} ((\Delta_x^3 + \Delta_y^3) a |uv|^2 + 2\Delta_x a |u|^4 |v|^2 + 2\Delta_y a |v|^4 |u|^2) dz dt \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}|.$$

Choosing $u = v$, we get in the case that $n = 5$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^4 dx dt \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}| \quad (3.15)$$

and in the case that $n \geq 6$

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2 |u(y, t)|^2}{|x-y|^5} dx dy dt \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}|. \quad (3.16)$$

However, we can write that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2 |u(y, t)|^2}{|x-y|^5} dx dy dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u(x, t)|^2 (|u|^2 * \frac{1}{|\cdot|^5})(x) dx dt. \quad (3.17)$$

Now we define for $n \geq 6$ the integral operator

$$|\nabla|^{-(n-5)} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^5} dy$$

By applying Plancherel's Theorem to (3.17), we obtain that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \otimes \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x, t)|^2 |u(y, t)|^2}{|x-y|^5} dx dy dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widehat{|u|^2}(\xi) |\xi|^{-(n-5)} \widehat{|u|^2}(\xi) d\xi dt,$$

and the right hand also can be written as follows

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{2}}(|u(x)|^2)|^2 dx dt.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we combine the two estimates (3.15) and (3.16) pretending that $|\nabla|^0$ is identity operator to get that for $n \geq 5$

$$||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{2}}(|u(x)|^2)||_{L^2([0,T];L^2)} \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}|. \quad (3.18)$$

It can be shown by using Hardy's inequality (for details see [10]) that for $n \geq 5$

$$\sup_{[0,T]} |M_a^{\otimes 2}| \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.19)$$

As a consequence of (3.18) and (3.19), we have the following priori estimate for the solution to (1.1)

$$\|u\|_{M([0,T])}^4 = ||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}u(x)||_{L^4([0,T];L^4)}^4 \lesssim \sup_{[0,T]} \|u(t)\|_{\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^2 \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2$$

where we make use of the following lemma that appears in [32] and [28]. $\square$

Lemma 3.1. *Assume $n \geq 5$. Then*

$$||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g||_{L_x^4}^2 \leq ||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{2}}(|g|^2)||_{L_x^2}.$$

Proof. For $n = 5$, the lemma obviously holds true in our conservation that $|\nabla|^0$ is the identity operator. In the case that $n \geq 6$, it suffices to show that, for any $g \in \mathcal{S}$

$$||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g||_{L_x^4}^2 \leq ||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{2}}(|g|^2)||_{L_x^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

by density argument. By the Littlewood-Paley Theorem, we rewrite that

$$||\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g||_{L_x^4}^2 = \left\| \left(\sum_N |P_N(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L_x^4}^2 = \left\| \sum_N |P_N(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g)|^2 \right\|_{L_x^2}.$$

By the definition of Littlewood-Paley operator, we can see that

$$(P_N(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g))(x) = N^{\frac{5-n}{4}} \left(g * \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi(\xi/N)) \right)(x) = N^{\frac{5-n}{4}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x-y) \check{\phi}(Ny) N^n dy.$$

We utilize the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to control the above by the following one uniformly in N

$$N^{\frac{5+3n}{4}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x-y)|^2 |\check{\phi}(Ny)| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\check{\phi}(Ny)| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since $\check{\phi}$ is a good function, then we obtain that

$$\sum_N |P_N(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g)|^2 \lesssim \sum_N N^{\frac{5+n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |g(x-y)|^2 |\check{\phi}(Ny)| dy. \quad (3.21)$$

We also can rewrite the right hand of the above as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x-y)|^2}{|y|^{\frac{n+5}{2}}} \left(\sum_N (N|y|)^{\frac{5+n}{2}} |\check{\phi}(Ny)| \right) dy.$$

On the other hand, since $\phi \in \mathcal{S}$, then for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\sum_N (N|y|)^{\frac{5+n}{2}} |\check{\phi}(Ny)| \lesssim \sum_N (N|y|)^{\frac{5+n}{2}} (1 + |Ny|)^{-2n} \lesssim 1.$$

Consequently, it follows from (3.21) that

$$\sum_N |P_N(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}g)|^2 \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|g(x-y)|^2}{|y|^{\frac{n+5}{2}}} dy = \left(|\nabla|^{-\frac{n-5}{2}} |g|^2 \right)(x).$$

Hence, this gives (3.20) and completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. $\square$

4 Almost Conservation Law

The aim of this section is to control the growth in time of $E(Iu)(t)$, where Iu is a smoothed version of u . The operator I is a slightly modified smoothing operator as in [9, 10], depending on a parameter $N \gg 1$ to be chosen later. For sake of convenience, we recall the definition of operator I :

$$\widehat{If}(\xi) := m_N(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi),$$

where the multiplier $m_N(\xi)$ is smooth, radially symmetric, nonincreasing in $|\xi|$ and

$$m_N(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & |\xi| \leq N, \\ (N|\xi|^{-1})^{2-s} & |\xi| \geq 2N. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

We note that $m_N(\xi)$ satisfies the Hörmander multiplier condition. As intended, the definition of $m_N(\xi)$ yields the following relationships between $\|Iu\|_{H^2}$ and $\|u\|_{H^s}$ for $0 < s < 2$:

$$\|Iu\|_{H^2}^2 \approx \int_{|\xi| \leq N} \langle \xi \rangle^4 |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} \langle \xi \rangle^4 N^{2(2-s)} |\xi|^{-2(2-s)} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

The right hand of the above can be controlled by

$$N^{2(2-s)} \left(\int_{|\xi| \leq N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} |\xi|^{2s} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right) \lesssim N^{2(2-s)} \|u\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\|Iu\|_{H^2}^2 \lesssim N^{2(2-s)} \|u\|_{H^s}^2. \quad (4.2)$$

On the other hand, we can see that

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \int_{|\xi| \leq N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{Iu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} N^{-2(2-s)} |\xi|^{2(2-s)} |\widehat{Iu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi.$$

The right hand of the above can be controlled by

$$\int_{|\xi| \leq N} \langle \xi \rangle^{2s} |\widehat{Iu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{|\xi| \geq 2N} |\xi|^4 |\widehat{Iu}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \lesssim \|Iu\|_{H^2}^2.$$

Hence, the L^2 conservation yields that

$$\|u\|_{H^s}^2 \lesssim \|Iu\|_{H^2}^2 + \|Iu\|_{L^2}^2 \leq E(Iu)(t) + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Once one has obtained a uniform bound on $E(Iu)(t)$ in terms of $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$, the global well-posedness will follow from (4.3), the locally well posed when $s > \frac{n}{2} - 2$ and a density argument. We remark a property of the operator I in the following lemma, which shows that the operator $\langle \nabla \rangle I$ also holds the fractional Leibniz rule.

Lemma 4.1 (Leibniz rule). *Let $1 < r, r_1, r_2, q_1, q_2 < \infty$ be such that $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{r_1} + \frac{1}{r_2} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{1}{q_2}$ and the s in the operator I satisfying $s \geq 1$. Then*

$$\|I\langle \nabla \rangle(fg)\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|(I\langle \nabla \rangle f)\|_{L^{r_1}} \|g\|_{L^{r_2}} + \|(I\langle \nabla \rangle g)\|_{L^{q_1}} \|f\|_{L^{q_2}}. \quad (4.4)$$

The energy (1.4) is shown to be conserved by differentiating in time, integrating by parts and using the equation (1.1)

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(u)(t) = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \bar{u} (\Delta^2 u + |u|^2 u) dx = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} -i |u_t|^2 dx = 0.$$

Now we differentiate $E(Iu)(t)$ in time to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(Iu)(t) = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \overline{Iu} (\Delta^2 Iu + |Iu|^2 Iu) dx = \Re \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \overline{Iu} (|Iu|^2 Iu - I(|u|^2 u)) dx,$$

then integrating the above on the time interval $[0, t]$, we have

$$E(Iu)(t) - E(Iu)(0) = \Re \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_t \overline{Iu} (|Iu|^2 Iu - I(|u|^2 u)) dx dt =: E_I(t). \quad (4.5)$$

Let us define $Z_I(t)$ as

$$Z_I(t) = \sup_{(q,r) \in \Lambda_1} \left(\sum_{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|P_M \langle \Delta \rangle Iu\|_{L^q([0,t]; L^r)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4.6)$$

Our aim is to show that the growth of $E(Iu)(t)$ satisfies

$$\Delta E_I(t) \lesssim N^{-\alpha} (Z_I(T))^\beta$$

for some $\alpha, \beta > 0$. As in [10], we look at the space-time integral in Fourier space, where we estimate various frequency interaction separately. In the meantime, we need to dominate $Z_I(t)$ in terms of $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ assuming a priori a small space-time $\|u\|_M$ norm on the space-time slab $[0, t] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $E(Iu_0)$ is uniformly bounded.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $u(t, x)$ be in (1.1) defined on $[0, T^*] \times \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$\|u\|_{M([0, T^*])} \leq \delta \quad (4.7)$$

for some small constant δ . Assume $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $E(Iu_0) \lesssim 1$. Then for $s \geq 1$ and $2 > s > \frac{n}{2} - 2$ and sufficiently large N ,

$$Z_I(T^*) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof. Taking the operator IP_M , ΔIP_M to the equation (1.1) and using the Strichartz estimate (2.3) and (2.5), for all $0 \leq t \leq T^*$ we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_I(t) &\lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} + \left(\sum_{M \in 2^{\mathbb{Z}}} \|P_M \langle \nabla \rangle I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^2(L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|Iu_0\|_{H^2} + \|\langle \nabla \rangle I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^2(L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

where we make use of Minkowski's inequality and the Littlewood-Paley theory. By mean of the fractional chain rule, Lemma 4.1 and Hölder's inequality, we can control the nonlinearity as follows

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2([0, T^*]; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} \leq \|\langle \nabla \rangle Iu\|_{L^\infty([0, T^*]; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})} \|u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)}^2. \quad (4.10)$$

We write

$$u = S_0 u + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \Delta_j u$$

where $S_0 u$ has spatial frequency support on $\langle \xi \rangle \lesssim N$ and the remaining $\Delta_j u$ each have dyadic spatial frequency support $\langle \xi \rangle \sim N_j := 2^{k_j}$, where $k_j \gtrsim \log N$ is an integer for $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Now we estimate separately $\|u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)}^2$ on the low frequency part $S_0 u$ and the high frequency pieces $\Delta_j u$, $j \geq 1$.

For the low frequency part $S_0 u$, the Sobolev embedding and interpolation yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_0 u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} &= \|S_0 Iu\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} \leq \|Iu\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; \dot{H}_p^\sigma)} \\ &\leq \|Iu\|_{M([0, T^*])}^\theta \|Iu\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; \dot{H}_p^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})}^{1-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\theta &= \frac{2(8-n)}{7} > 0, & \sigma - \frac{n}{p} &= -1, \\ \sigma &= \left(\frac{5-n}{4}\right)\theta + 2(1-\theta) = \frac{n^2 - 5n + 4}{14} > 0, \\ \frac{1}{p} &= \frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n-2}{2n}(1-\theta) = \frac{n^2 - 5n + 18}{14n}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows that

$$\|S_0 u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} \lesssim \delta^\theta Z_I^{1-\theta}(T^*). \quad (4.11)$$

For the high frequency pieces $\Delta_j u$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, the definition of I operator gives that

$$\|I \Delta_j u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} \sim N^{2-s} N_j^{s-2} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)}.$$

Using the Bernstein inequality, we can rewrite that

$$\|\Delta_j u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} = N^{s-2} N_j^{-s} N_j^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \|I \Delta \Delta_j u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})}.$$

Since $s > \frac{n}{2} - 2$, we can sum in j

$$\sum_{N_j \geq N} \|\Delta_j u\|_{L^4([0, T^*]; L_x^n)} \leq N^{s-2} \sum_{N_j \geq N} N_j^{-[s-(\frac{n}{2}-2)]} Z_I(T^*) = N^{\frac{n-8}{2}} Z_I(T^*). \quad (4.12)$$

Collecting (4.9)-(4.12), we conclude that

$$Z_I(t) \lesssim \|I u_0\|_{H^2} + \delta^{2\theta} Z_I^{1+2(1-\theta)}(T^*) + N^{n-8} Z_I^3(T^*).$$

Choosing N sufficiently large and sufficiently small δ , the continuous argument yields (4.8) and thus it ends the proof of Lemma 4.2. $\square$

We have the following almost conservation law:

Proposition 4.1 (Almost Conservation Law). *Assume $2 > s > \frac{n}{2} - 2$ and $s \geq \max\{\frac{n-2}{3}, 1\}$, $N \gg 1$, $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a solution of (1.1) on a time interval $[0, T]$ for which*

$$\|u\|_{M([0, T])} \leq \delta \quad (4.13)$$

for some small constant δ . In addition we assume $E(Iu_0) \lesssim 1$. Then we conclude that for all $t \in [0, T]$,

$$E(Iu)(t) = E(Iu_0) + O(\max\{N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+}, N^{-1+}\}). \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. We apply the Parseval formula to $\Delta E_I(t)$ in (4.5) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta E_I &= \Re \int_0^T \int_{\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}\right) \\ &\quad \times \widehat{\partial_t I u}(\xi_1) \widehat{I u}(\xi_2) \widehat{I u}(\xi_3) \widehat{I u}(\xi_4) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 dt.\end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Now if we use Equation (1.1) to substitute for $\partial_t Iu$ in the above formula (4.15), then it is split into two terms as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_1 = & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \widehat{\Delta^2 Iu}(\xi_1) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_2) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_3) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_4) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 dt \right| \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E_2 = & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \times \widehat{I(|u|^2 u)}(\xi_1) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_2) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_3) \widehat{Iu}(\xi_4) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 dt \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

For our purpose, we also adopt a estimate of Coifman-Meyer for a class of multilinear operators as well as [10]. Consider an infinitely differentiable symbol $m : \mathbb{R}^{nk} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ so that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{nk}$ and all $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{nk}$, there is a constant $c(\alpha)$ such that

$$|\partial_\xi^\alpha m(\xi)| \leq c(\alpha)(1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}. \quad (4.18)$$

Define the multilinear operator T by

$$[T(f_1, \dots, f_k)](x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{nk}} e^{ix \cdot (\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k)} m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \hat{f}_1(\xi_1) \dots \hat{f}_k(\xi_k) d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_k,$$

or

$$\mathcal{F}[T(f_1, \dots, f_k)](\xi) = \int_{\xi = \xi_1 + \dots + \xi_k} m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \hat{f}_1(\xi_1) \dots \hat{f}_k(\xi_k) d\sigma(\xi).$$

Proposition 4.2 ([7], Page 179.). *Suppose $p_j \in (1, \infty), j = 1, \dots, k$, are such that $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_k} \leq 1$. Assume $m(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ a smooth symbol as in (4.18). Then there is a constant $C = C(p_i, n, k, c(\alpha))$ so that for all Schwarz class functions $f_1, \dots, f_k$,*

$$\|[T(f_1, \dots, f_k)](x)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \dots \|f_k\|_{L^{p_k}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (4.19)$$

After recalling the Coifman-Meyer multiplier theorem, we turn back to our proof.

Step One: We first estimate ΔE_1 . To this end, we decompose

$$u = \sum_{M \geq 1} P_M u = \sum_{M \geq 1} u_M$$

with the convention that $P_1 u := P_{\leq 1} u$. By utilizing this notation and symmetry, we establish this estimate

$$\Delta E_1 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4), \quad (4.20)$$

where

$$B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) := \left| \int_0^T \int_{\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \widehat{\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}}(\xi_1) \widehat{Iu_{M_2}}(\xi_2) \widehat{Iu_{M_3}}(\xi_3) \widehat{Iu_{M_4}}(\xi_4) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 dt \right|. \quad (4.21)$$

Case I: $M_1 > 1, M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4 > 1$. This case is broken down into the following several subcases.

Subcase I_1 : $N \gg M_2$. In this case, we have

$$m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4) = m_N(\xi_2) = m_N(\xi_3) = m_N(\xi_4) = 1$$

and thus $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) = 0$ and its contribution to the right-hand side of (4.20) vanishes.

Subcase I_2 : $M_2 \gtrsim N \gg M_3$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \sim M_2$. The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus yields that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \left| \frac{\nabla m_N(\xi_2) \cdot (\xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{M_3}{M_2}.$$

Applying Proposition 4.2, Sobolev embedding and the Bernstein inequality, and keeping $M_j > 1$ in mind, we can see that

$$B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim \frac{M_3}{M_2} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\ \lesssim \frac{M_3 M_1^2}{M_2^3 M_3^{\frac{8-n}{2}} M_4^{\frac{8-n}{2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}.$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by that in the case that $n = 7$

$$\frac{1}{M_2} \left(\frac{M_3}{M_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_I^4(T) \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} \left(\frac{M_3}{M_2 M_4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} M_2^{0-} Z_I^4(T),$$

and in the case that $5 \leq n \leq 6$

$$\frac{1}{M_2} Z_I^4(T) \lesssim N^{-1+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^4(T).$$

The factor M_2^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 , hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} Z_I^4(T) & n = 7; \\ N^{-1+} Z_I^4(T) & 5 \leq n \leq 6. \end{cases} \quad (4.22)$$

Subcase I_3 : $M_2 \gg M_3 \gtrsim N$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \sim M_2$. Observe that $m_N(\xi_1) \approx m_N(\xi_2) \ll m_N(\xi_3) \lesssim m_N(\xi_4) \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| \frac{m_N(\xi_1) - m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}.$$

Applying again the multilinear multiplier theorem, Sobolev embedding and the Bernstein inequality, and recalling $M_j > 1$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1) M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}} M_4^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}},
\end{aligned}$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}} M_4^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I^2(T) \\
& \lesssim \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I^2(T) \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} M_3^{0-} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I^2(T),
\end{aligned}$$

where we make use of the fact $m_N(\xi)|\xi|^{\frac{8-n}{2}}$ is increasing as soon as $s \geq \frac{n}{2} - 2$ and the definition of $m_N(\xi)$. The factor M_3^{0-} allows us to sum in M_3, M_4 and the fact $M_1 \sim M_2$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality permit us to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} \left(\sum_{M_1 > 1} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{M_2 > 1} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_I^2(T) \quad (4.23) \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} Z_I^4(T).
\end{aligned}$$

Subcase I_4 : $M_2 \sim M_3 \gtrsim N$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \lesssim M_2 \sim M_3$. A direct computation yields that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| \frac{m_N(\xi_1) - m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}.$$

Observe that $m_N(\xi_1) \gtrsim m_N(\xi_2), m_N(\xi_1)|\xi_1|^2 \lesssim m_N(\xi_2)|\xi_2|^2$, thus as similar argument for case I_3 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1) M_1^2 M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}} M_4^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{M_2^2 m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)} Z_I^4(T) \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} M_3^{0-} Z_I^4(T).
\end{aligned}$$

The factor M_3^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 to estimate that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} Z_I^4(T). \quad (4.24)$$

Case II: There exists $1 \leq j_0 \leq 4$ such that $M_{j_0} = 1$. This case is also broken down into the following several subcases.

Subcase II₁: $M_1 = 1$. In this case, note that $N \gg 1$, we must have $M_2 \geq M_3 > 1 = M_4$ or $M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4 > 1$, otherwise

$$B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) = 0.$$

Also, arguing as for case I₁, if $N \gg M_2$ then

$$m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4) = m_N(\xi_2) = m_N(\xi_3) = m_N(\xi_4) = 1$$

and thus $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) = 0$ and its contribution to the right-hand side of (4.20) vanishes. Therefore, we may assume $M_2 \gtrsim N$, and hence it follows from $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$ that

$$M_2 \sim M_3 \gtrsim N.$$

On the other hand, we have that for this case

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} = \frac{1}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}.$$

Applying the multilinear multiplier theorem, and Sobolev embedding, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\ & \lesssim \frac{M_1^2 M_2^{-2} M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n}. \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by, when $M_2 \sim M_3 \gtrsim N \gg 1 = M_4$ as soon as $s > \frac{n}{4} - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M_2^2 m_N(\xi_2) m_N(\xi_3) M_3^{\frac{8-n}{2}}} Z_I^3(T) \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} & \lesssim \frac{N^{2(s-2)}}{M_2^{2(1+s-\frac{n}{4})}} Z_I^3(T) \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_x^{\frac{n-5}{4}}} \\ & \lesssim N^{-(6-\frac{n}{2})+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^3(T) \delta, \end{aligned}$$

and when $M_2 \sim M_3 \geq M_4 > 1$ and $M_2 \sim M_3 \gtrsim N$ as soon as $s > \frac{n}{4} - 1$,

$$\frac{M_2^{-2} M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}} M_4^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_2) m_N(\xi_3) m_N(\xi_4)} Z_I^4(T) \lesssim \frac{M_2^{-2} M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_2) m_N(\xi_3)} Z_I^4(T) \lesssim N^{-(6-\frac{n}{2})+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^4(T).$$

The factor M_2^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 , hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim N^{-(6-\frac{n}{2})+} Z_I^3(T) \delta + N^{-(6-\frac{n}{2})+} Z_I^4(T). \quad (4.25)$$

Subcase II_2 : $M_1 > 1$. This subcase is broken down into the following several subcases.

Sub-subcase II_2^a : $M_1 > 1, M_2 = M_3 = M_4 = 1$. In this case, since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \sim 1 \ll N$. Then again we have

$$m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4) = m_N(\xi_2) = m_N(\xi_3) = m_N(\xi_4) = 1$$

and thus $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) = 0$.

Sub-subcase II_2^b : $M_1 > 1, M_2 > 1 = M_3 = M_4$. In this case, we must have $M_1 \sim M_2$ since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$. We may assume that $M_1 \sim M_2 \gtrsim N$, since otherwise $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) = 0$. Now our purpose is to estimate $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4)$ under the circumstance that

$$M_1 \sim M_2 \gtrsim N \gg 1 = M_3 = M_4.$$

In addition, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus yields

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \left| \frac{\nabla m_N(\xi_2) \cdot (\xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{1}{M_2}.$$

Applying Proposition 4.2, Sobolev embedding, and the Bernstein inequality, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) &\lesssim \frac{1}{M_2} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\ &\lesssim \frac{M_1^2}{M_2^3} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n}. \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by

$$\frac{1}{M_2} Z_I^2(T) \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_4^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_4^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}} \lesssim N^{-1+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^2(T) \delta^2,$$

The factor M_2^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 , hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim N^{-1+} Z_I^2(T) \delta^2. \quad (4.26)$$

Sub-subcase II_2^c : $M_1 > 1, M_2 \geq M_3 > 1 = M_4$. We may assume $M_2 \gtrsim N$, otherwise the contribution of this case is null. Arguing similar as for Case I , we also break this case into several steps.

Step 1. If $M_2 \gtrsim N \gg M_3 > 1 = M_4$, we must have $M_1 \sim M_2 \gtrsim N \gg M_3 > 1 = M_4$ since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$. Hence, we obtain that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \left| \frac{\nabla m_N(\xi_2) \cdot (\xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)} \right| \lesssim \frac{M_3}{M_2}.$$

Applying the multilinear multiplier theorem, Sobolev embedding, and the Bernstein inequality and keeping in mind $M_1, M_2, M_3 > 1, M_4 = 1$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) &\lesssim \frac{M_3}{M_2} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\ &\lesssim \frac{M_3 M_1^2}{M_2^3 M_3^{\frac{2}{2-n}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_4^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by that in the case that $n = 7$

$$\frac{M_3^{\frac{1}{2}}}{M_2} Z_I^3(T) \delta \lesssim N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^3(T) \delta,$$

and in the case that $5 \leq n \leq 6$

$$\frac{1}{M_2} Z_I^3(T) \delta \lesssim N^{-1+} M_2^{0-} Z_I^3(T) \delta.$$

The factor M_2^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 , hence we obtain that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim \begin{cases} N^{-\frac{1}{2}+} Z_I^3(T) \delta & n = 7; \\ N^{-1+} Z_I^3(T) \delta & 5 \leq n \leq 6. \end{cases} \quad (4.27)$$

Step 2. $M_2 \gg M_3 \gtrsim N$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \sim M_2$. Observe that $m_N(\xi_1) \approx m_N(\xi_2) \ll m_N(\xi_3) \lesssim m_N(\xi_4) = 1$, it follows that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| \frac{m_N(\xi_1) - m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)} \right| \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)}.$$

Applying again the multilinear multiplier theorem, Sobolev embedding and the Bernstein inequality and recalling $M_j > 1$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and $M_4 = 1$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) &\lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta^2 Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^n} \\ &\lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1) M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)} \|\Delta Iu_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta Iu_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|Iu_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 \dot{H}_4^{-\frac{n-5}{4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand of above inequality can be controlled by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)} \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I(T) \delta \\
& \lesssim \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)} \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I(T) \delta \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} M_3^{0-} \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} Z_I(T) \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

The factor M_3^{0-} allows us to sum in M_3, M_4 and the fact $M_1 \sim M_2$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality permit us to estimate that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} \left(\sum_{M_1 > 1} \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{M_2 > 1} \|\Delta I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Z_I(T) \delta \quad (4.28) \\
& \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} Z_I^3(T) \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. $M_2 \sim M_3 \gtrsim N$. Since $\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0$, we must have $M_1 \lesssim M_2 \sim M_3$. A direct computation yields that

$$\left| 1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| = \left| \frac{m_N(\xi_1) - m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right| \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)}.$$

Observe that $m_N(\xi_1) \gtrsim m_N(\xi_2), m_N(\xi_1)|\xi_1|^2 \lesssim m_N(\xi_2)|\xi_2|^2$, thus as similar argument in Step 3 leads to that

$$\begin{aligned}
& B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \|\Delta^2 I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|I u_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|I u_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{m_N(\xi_1) M_1^2 M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{M_2^2 m_N(\xi_2) m_N(\xi_3)} \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta I u_{M_2}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|\Delta I u_{M_3}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \|I u_{M_4}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \\
& \lesssim \frac{M_3^{\frac{n-8}{2}}}{m_N(\xi_3)} Z_I^3(T) \delta \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} M_3^{0-} Z_I^3(T) \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

The factor M_3^{0-} allows us to sum in M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4 to estimate that

$$\sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \lesssim N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+} Z_I^3(T) \delta. \quad (4.29)$$

Putting all of cases together, it follows from (4.22)-(4.29) that

$$\Delta E_1 \lesssim \max\{N^{-1+}, N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+}\} \left(Z_I^4(T) + Z_I^3(T) \delta + Z_I^2(T) \delta^2 \right). \quad (4.30)$$

Step Two: We secondly estimate ΔE_2 . To this end, we again decompose

$$u = \sum_{M \geq 1} P_M u = \sum_{M \geq 1} u_M$$

with the convention that $P_1 u := P_{\leq 1} u$. By utilizing this notation and symmetry, we establish this estimate

$$\Delta E_2 \lesssim \sum_{\substack{M_1, \dots, M_4 \geq 1 \\ M_2 \geq M_3 \geq M_4}} C(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) \quad (4.31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4) := & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\sum_{j=1}^4 \xi_j = 0} \left(1 - \frac{m_N(\xi_2 + \xi_3 + \xi_4)}{m_N(\xi_2)m_N(\xi_3)m_N(\xi_4)} \right) \right. \\ & \times \widehat{P_{M_1} I(|u|^2 u)}(\xi_1) \widehat{I u_{M_2}}(\xi_2) \widehat{I u_{M_3}}(\xi_3) \widehat{I u_{M_4}}(\xi_4) d\xi_2 d\xi_3 d\xi_4 dt \Big|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

In order to estimate $C(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4)$, we make the observation that in estimating $B(M_1, M_2, M_3, M_4)$ for the term involving the M_1 frequency we only used the bound

$$\|\Delta^2 I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \leq M_1^2 \|\Delta I u_{M_1}\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \lesssim M_1^2 Z_I(t). \quad (4.33)$$

Thus to estimate ΔE_2 , it suffices to show that

$$\|P_{M_1} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \lesssim M_1^2 Z_I^3(t) \quad (4.34)$$

and then arguing as for estimating ΔE_1 , we substitute (4.34) for (4.33) to obtain that

$$\Delta E_2 \lesssim \max\{N^{-1+}, N^{\frac{n-8}{2}+}\} \left(Z_I^6(T) + Z_I^5(T)\delta + Z_I^4(T)\delta^2 \right). \quad (4.35)$$

Therefore, we are left to prove (4.34). The boundedness of the Littlewood-Paley operator and the Sobolev embedding yield that

$$M_1^{-2} \|P_{M_1} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} \lesssim \|P_{M_1} I(|u|^2 u)\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}}} \leq \|u\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}}}^3.$$

We decompose u into low frequency and high frequency like that $u := u_{\leq N} + u_{>N}$. We first estimate the low frequency part by interpolation

$$\|u_{\leq N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}}}^3 \leq \|I u_{\leq N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}}}^3 \leq \|I u_{\leq N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^{3(1-\theta)} \|I u_{\leq N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-14}}}^{3\theta} \leq Z_I^3(t), \quad (4.36)$$

with $\theta = \frac{n-2}{6}$. For the high frequency, we have that

$$\|u_{>N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}}}^3 \leq \| |\nabla|^{\frac{n-2}{3}} u_{>N} \|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^3 = \| |\nabla|^{\frac{n-2}{3}} (\Delta I)^{-1} \Delta I u_{>N} \|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^3. \quad (4.37)$$

We also can rewrite the right hand as follows

$$N^{\frac{n-8}{3}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\sigma(\xi)) \Delta I u_{>N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^3$$

with $\sigma(\xi) = (N|\xi|^{-1})^{s-\frac{n-2}{3}}$ and it follows from $s \geq \frac{n-2}{3}$ and Hörmander's multiplier theorem that

$$N^{\frac{n-8}{3}} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\sigma(\xi)) \Delta I u_{>N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^3 \leq N^{\frac{n-8}{3}} \|\Delta I u_{>N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{3n-2}}}^3 \leq Z_I^3(t),$$

since $N \gg 1$. Combined with (4.37), it gives that

$$\|u_{>N}\|_{L_t^{12} L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}}}^3 \lesssim Z_I^3(t). \quad (4.38)$$

Finally, (4.34) follows from (4.36) and (4.38) and this completes the proof of the almost conservation law Proposition 4.1. $\square$

5 Proof of Main Theorem

We combine the interaction Morawetz estimate and almost conservation law with a scaling argument to prove the following statement giving uniform bounds in terms of the rough norm of the initial data.

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose $u(t, x)$ is a global in time solution to (1.1) from data $u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then so long as $s > s_0$ with s_0 in Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\|u\|_{M(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}), \quad (5.1)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} \|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}). \quad (5.2)$$

Remark 5.1. *The global well-posedness part of Theorem 1.1 follows from (5.2), Proposition 2.2 and the standard density argument.*

Proof. If u is a solution to (1.1), then so is

$$u^\lambda(t, x) = \lambda^{-2} u(\lambda^{-4} t, \lambda^{-1} x). \quad (5.3)$$

We choose λ so that $E(Iu_0^\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta I u_0^\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|Iu_0^\lambda\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 \lesssim 1$ to remove the smallness condition $E(Iu_0)$ in Proposition 4.1. As in (4.2), we show

$$\|\Delta I u_0^\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim N^{2-s} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2-s} \|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (5.4)$$

then the right choice of λ is

$$\lambda \approx N^{\frac{2-s}{s-(\frac{n}{2}-2)}}. \quad (5.5)$$

We estimate $\|Iu_0^\lambda\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, the second term in $E(Iu_0^\lambda)$, by separating the domains in the frequency space. Set

$$\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi) = (\chi_0(\xi) + \chi_1(\xi) + \chi_2(\xi))\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi),$$

for nonnegative smooth functions $\chi_j(\xi)$ such that $\sum_{j=0}^2 \chi_j(\xi) = 1$ and χ_j is supported in $\{\xi : |\xi| \leq \frac{2}{\lambda}\}$, $\{\xi : \frac{1}{\lambda} \leq |\xi| \leq N\}$ and $\{\xi : |\xi| \geq \frac{N}{2}\}$, respectively. Then

$$\widehat{Iu_0^\lambda}(\xi) = \chi_0(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi) + \chi_1(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi) + \chi_2(\xi)m_N(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi).$$

A straightforward arguments using Sobolev embedding together with the relation (5.5) will give

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_0(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi))\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-2}\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \\ \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(m_N(\xi)\chi_2(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi))\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left(\frac{N}{|\xi|}\right)^{2-s}|\xi|^{\frac{n}{4}-s}|\xi|^s\chi_2(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim N^{\frac{n}{4}-s}\lambda^{-(s+2-\frac{n}{2})}\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

For the medium frequency, we similarly have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\chi_1(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi))\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \| |\xi|^{\frac{n}{4}-s}|\xi|^s\chi_1(\xi)\widehat{u_0^\lambda}(\xi) \|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} N^{\frac{n}{4}-s}\lambda^{-(2+s-\frac{n}{2})}\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} & s \lesssim \frac{n}{4} \\ \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-2}\|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} & s > \frac{n}{4} \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the three parts, we obtain that by using the relationship (5.5)

$$\|Iu_0^\lambda\|_{L^4(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim (\lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-2} + \lambda^{\frac{(s+2-\frac{n}{2})(\frac{n}{4}-2)}{2-s}})\|u_0\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (5.6)$$

Thus, taking λ sufficiently large depending on $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$ and N (which will be chosen later and will depend only on $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$), it follows from (5.4) and (5.6) that

$$E(Iu_0^\lambda) \lesssim 1. \quad (5.7)$$

We now show that there exists an absolute constant C_1 such that

$$\|u^\lambda\|_{M(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_1 \lambda^{\frac{7}{4}(\frac{n}{4}-1)}. \quad (5.8)$$

Undoing the scaling, this yields (5.1). We prove (5.8) via a bootstrap argument. By time reversal symmetry, it suffices to argue for positive times only. Define

$$\Omega_1 := \{t \in [0, \infty) : \|u^\lambda\|_{M([0,t])} \leq C_1 \lambda^{\frac{7}{4}(\frac{n}{4}-1)}\}.$$

We want to show $\Omega_1 = [0, \infty)$. Let

$$\Omega_2 := \{t \in [0, \infty) : \|u^\lambda\|_{M([0,t])} \leq 2C_1 \lambda^{\frac{7}{4}(\frac{n}{4}-1)}\}.$$

In order to run the bootstrap argument successfully, we need to verify four things:

- 1) $\Omega_1 \neq \emptyset$. This is obvious as $0 \in \Omega_1$.
- 2) Ω_1 is closed. This follows from Fatou's Lemma.
- 3) $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$.
- 4) If $T \in \Omega_1$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $[T, T + \varepsilon) \subset \Omega_2$. This is a consequence of the local well-posedness theory and the proof of 3). We skip the details.

Thus, we need to prove 3). Fix $T \in \Omega_2$; we will show that $T \in \Omega_1$. By the interaction Morawetz estimate (3.6) and the mass conservation, we can see that

$$\|u^\lambda\|_{M([0,T])} \leq \|u_0^\lambda\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|u^\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{L^2}} \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \|u^\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.9)$$

To control the second factor $\|u^\lambda\|_{L^\infty([0,T]; \dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^n))}$, we decompose

$$u^\lambda(t) = P_{\leq N} u^\lambda(t) + P_{> N} u^\lambda(t).$$

In order to estimate the low frequencies, we interpolate between the L_x^2 -norm and $\dot{H}_x^2$ -norm and use the fact that the operator I is the identity on frequencies $|\xi| \leq N$:

$$\|P_{\leq N} u^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}} \lesssim \|u^\lambda(t)\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|u^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{L^2}} \lambda^{\frac{3n}{8}-\frac{3}{2}} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (5.10)$$

To dominate the high frequencies, we interpolate between the L_x^2 -norm and $\dot{H}_x^s$ -norm and use the definition of operator I to get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_{> N} u^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}_x^{\frac{1}{2}}} &\lesssim \|u^\lambda(t)\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{1}{2s}} \|u^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^s}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{L^2}} \lambda^{(\frac{n}{2}-2)(1-\frac{1}{2s})} N^{\frac{s-2}{2s}} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}} \\ &\lesssim_{\|u_0\|_{L^2}} \lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-\frac{5}{2}} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2s}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Collecting (5.10) through (5.11), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^\lambda(t)\|_{M([0,T])} &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-1} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\lambda^{\frac{3n}{16}-\frac{3}{4}} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} + \lambda^{\frac{n}{4}-\frac{5}{4}} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{4s}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{\frac{7}{4}(\frac{n}{4}-1)} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left(\|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{8}} + \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{4s}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

where we make use of the facts that $\lambda \gg 1$ and $n \leq 8$ in the last inequality. Thus, taking C_1 sufficiently large depending on $\|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$, we obtain $T \in \Omega_1$, provided that

$$\sup_{t \in [0,T]} \|Iu^\lambda(t)\|_{\dot{H}^2} \leq 1. \quad (5.13)$$

We now prove that (5.13) when $T \in \Omega_2$. In practice, let $\delta > 0$ be sufficiently small constant as in Proposition 4.1, and we divide $[0, T]$ into

$$L \sim \left(\frac{\lambda^{\frac{7}{4}(\frac{n}{4}-1)}}{\delta} \right)^4 \quad (5.14)$$

sub-intervals $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ such that

$$\|u^\lambda\|_{M(I_j)} \leq \delta.$$

Applying Proposition 4.1 on each of the sub-intervals I_j , we get that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} E(Iu^\lambda(t)) \leq E(Iu_0^\lambda) + LN^{\max\{-1, \frac{n-8}{2}\}+}.$$

To maintain small energy during the iteration, we need

$$LN^{\max\{-1, \frac{n-8}{2}\}+} \sim \lambda^{7(\frac{n}{4}-1)} N^{\max\{-1, \frac{n-8}{2}\}+} \ll 1,$$

which combined with (5.5) leads to

$$\left(N^{\frac{2-s}{s+2-\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{7(\frac{n}{4}-1)} N^{\max\{-1, \frac{n-8}{2}\}+} \ll 1.$$

This may be ensured by taking $N = N(\|u_0\|_{H^s})$ large enough provided that

$$s > \begin{cases} \frac{16(n-4)}{7n-24} & 5 \leq n \leq 6, \\ \frac{45}{23} & n = 7. \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

This completes the bootstrap argument and hence (5.8) and moreover (5.1) follows. To estimate $\|u(T)\|_{H_x^s}$, we write that by the conservation of mass and the scaling

$$\|u(T)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|u(T)\|_{\dot{H}_x^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{s+2-\frac{n}{2}} \|u^\lambda(\lambda^4 T)\|_{\dot{H}_x^s}.$$

Utilizing (4.3), the right hand can be controlled by

$$\|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{s+2-\frac{n}{2}} \|Iu^\lambda(\lambda^4 T)\|_{H_x^2} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{s+2-\frac{n}{2}} (\|u^\lambda(\lambda^4 T)\|_{L_x^2} + \|Iu^\lambda(\lambda^4 T)\|_{\dot{H}_x^2})$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.13) that for all $T \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|u(T)\|_{H_x^s} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \lambda^{s+2-\frac{n}{2}} (\lambda^{\frac{n}{2}-2} \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + 1) \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}).$$

Hence, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}; H_x^s)} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}). \quad (5.16)$$

Scattering We prove that scattering holds in H_x^s for $s > s_0$. We first show that the global Morawetz estimate (5.1) can be upgraded to the global Strichartz estimate

$$\|u\|_{S^s(I)} := \sup_{(q_0, r_0) \in \Lambda_0} \|\nabla|^{s+\frac{2}{q_0}} u\|_{L_t^{q_0} L_x^{r_0}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)} + \sup_{(q_1, r_1) \in \Lambda_0} \|u\|_{L_t^{q_1} L_x^{r_1}(I \times \mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (5.17)$$

The second step is to use this estimate to prove asymptotic completeness. The construction of the wave operator is a the standard step, which we omit it here.

Let u be a global solution to (1.1) with initial data in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $s > s_0$. By the global Morawetz estimate (5.1), we obtain that

$$\|u\|_{M(\mathbb{R})} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s}).$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be a small constant to be chosen momentarily and split $\mathbb{R}$ into $L = L(\|u_0\|_{H_x^s})$ subintervals $I_j = [t_j, t_{j+1}]$ such that

$$\|u\|_{M(I_j)} \leq \delta. \quad (5.18)$$

By the Strichartz estimate in Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\|u\|_{S^s(I_j)} \leq \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s u(t_j)\|_{L_x^2} + \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{s-1}(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} + \| |u|^2 u \|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})}. \quad (5.19)$$

Since $s_0 \leq s < 2$, by the fractional chain rule and Hölder's inequality, we can control the nonlinearity as follows

$$\|\langle \nabla \rangle^{s-1}(|u|^2 u)\|_{L^2(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} \leq \|\langle \nabla \rangle^{s-1} u\|_{L^\infty(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})} \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L_x^n)}^2, \quad (5.20)$$

while by Sobolev embedding and interpolation

$$\|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L_x^n)} \leq \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; \dot{H}_p^\sigma)} \leq \|u\|_{M(I_j)}^\theta \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; \dot{H}^{\frac{s+\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{2n}{n-1}})}^{1-\theta}, \quad (5.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{2n-9}{4s-1}, \quad \sigma - \frac{n}{p} = -1, \\ \sigma &= (s + \frac{1}{2})\theta + \frac{5-n}{4}(1-\theta) = \frac{(2s+1)(2n-9) - (n-5)(2s-n+4)}{2(4s-1)} > 0, \\ \frac{1}{p} &= \frac{n-1}{2n}\theta + \frac{1}{4}(1-\theta) = \frac{(n-1)(2n-9) + n(2s-n+4)}{2n(4s-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\| |u|^2 u \|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} = \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L_x^{\frac{6n}{n+2}})}^3 \leq \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^n)} \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^4)}^2. \quad (5.22)$$

and

$$\|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^4)} \leq \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}})}^{1-\alpha} \|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^{\frac{2n}{n-2-2s}})}^\alpha, \quad (5.23)$$

where $\alpha = \frac{n-4}{4s} \leq 1$. By Sobolev embedding and the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{S^s(I)}$, it yields that

$$\|u\|_{L^4(I_j; L^4)} \lesssim \|u\|_{S^s(I_j)}. \quad (5.24)$$

This together with (5.19)-(5.21) yields that

$$\|u\|_{S^s(I_j)} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s u(t_j)\|_{L_x^2} + \delta^{2\theta} \|u\|_{S^s(I_j)}^{1+2(1-\theta)} + \delta^\theta \|u\|_{S^s(I_j)}^{3-\theta}.$$

A standard continuity argument yields that

$$\|u\|_{S^s(I_j)} \lesssim \|\langle \nabla \rangle^s u(t_j)\|_{L_x^2} \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^s}),$$

provided we choose δ sufficiently small depending on $\|u_0\|_{H^s}$. Summing over all subintervals I_j , we have that

$$\|u\|_{S^s(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim C(\|u_0\|_{H^s}). \quad (5.25)$$

To prove the asymptotic completeness, we need to prove that there exist unique $u_{\pm}$ such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \|u(t) - e^{it\Delta^2} u_{\pm}\|_{H_x^s} = 0.$$

By time reversal symmetry, it suffices to prove the claim for positive times only. For $t > 0$, we will show that $v(t) := e^{-it\Delta^2} u(t)$ converges in H_x^s as $t \rightarrow +\infty$, and u_+ to be the limit. In practice, we can use Duhamel's formula to get

$$v(t) = e^{-it\Delta^2} u(t) = u_0 - i \int_0^t e^{-i\tau\Delta^2} (|u|^2 u)(\tau) d\tau. \quad (5.26)$$

Moreover, for $0 < t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$v(t_2) - v(t_1) = -i \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-i\tau\Delta^2} (|u|^2 u)(\tau) d\tau.$$

Using the Strichartz estimate, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v(t_2) - v(t_1)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} e^{-i\tau\Delta^2} (|u|^2 u)(\tau) d\tau \right\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\leq \| |\nabla|^{s-1} (|u|^2 u) \|_{L^2([t_1, t_2]; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})} + \| |u|^2 u \|_{L^{\frac{4}{3}}(I_j; L_x^{\frac{2n}{n+2}})}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing similarly as before, this above one can be controlled by

$$\|u\|_{M([t_1, t_2])}^{2\theta} \|u\|_{S^s([t_1, t_2])}^{1+2(1-\theta)} + \|u\|_{M([t_1, t_2])}^{\theta} \|u\|_{S^s([t_1, t_2])}^{3-\theta}.$$

Therefore, it follows from (5.1) and (5.25) that

$$\|v(t_2) - v(t_1)\|_{H_x^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_1, t_2 \rightarrow +\infty. \quad (5.27)$$

As t tends to $+\infty$, the limitation of (5.26) is well defined. In particular, we find that

$$u_+ = u_0 - \int_0^\infty e^{-i\tau\Delta^2} (|u|^2 u)(\tau) d\tau$$

which is nothing but the asymptotic state. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Prof. Jean-Claude Saut and B. Pausader for their invaluable comments and suggestions. The authors were partly supported by the NSF of China (No.10725102).

References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfstrom, *Interpolation spaces, An Introduction*, New York: Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [2] O. Bouchel. Remarks on NSL with higher order anisotropic dispersion. *Advances in Diff.Eq.* 13(2008), 169-198.
- [3] J. Bourgain. Refinements of Strichartz's inequality and applications to 2D-NLS with critical nonlinearity. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, 5(1998),253-283.
- [4] J. Bourgain. The Global solution of nonlinear Schrödinger equations, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.
- [5] J. Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation in radial case, *J.Amer. Math. Soc.* 12(1999), 145-171.
- [6] M. Ben-Artzi, H. Koch and J.C. Saut. Dispersion estimates for fourth order Schrödinger equations, *C.R.A.S.*, 330, Serie 1, (2000), 87-92.
- [7] R. Coifman and Y. Meyer. Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires. *Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble)*, 28(1978):177-202.
- [8] J. Colliander, M. Grillakis and N. Tzirakis. Tensor products and correlation estimates with applications to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, *arxiv*. 0807.0871v2.
- [9] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Almost conservation laws and global rough solutions to a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Math. Res. Letters* 9 (2002), 659-682
- [10] J. Colliander, M. Keel, G. Staffilani, H. Takaoka and T. Tao, Global existence and scattering for rough solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation on $\mathbb{R}^3$, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 57(2004), 987-1014.
- [11] G. Fibich, B. Ilan and G. Papanicolaou, Self-focusing with fourth order dispersion. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 62, No 4, (2002), 1437-1462.
- [12] B. Guo and B. Wang, The global Cauchy problem and scattering of solutions for nonlinear Schrödinger equations in H^s , *Diff. Int. Equ.* 15 No 9 (2002), 1073-1083.
- [13] M. Grillakis. On Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 25(2000), 1827-1844.
- [14] C. Hao, L. Hsiao and B. Wang, Well-posedness for the fourth-order Schrödinger equations, *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 320 (2006), 246-265.
- [15] C. Hao, L. Hsiao and B. Wang, Well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for the fourth-order Schrödinger equations in high dimensions, *J. of Math. Anal. and Appl.* 328 (2007) 58-83.

- [16] M. Keel and T. Tao, Endpoint Strichartz estimates, *American Journal of Mathematics* 120, (1998) 955-980.
- [17] V.I. Karpman, Stabilization of soliton instabilities by higher-order dispersion: fourth order nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations. *Phys. Rev. E* 53,2(1996), 1336-1339.
- [18] V.I. Karpman and A.G. Shagalov, Stability of soliton described by nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations with higher-order dispersion. *Phys. Rev. D.* 144(2000), 194-210.
- [19] R. Killip and M. Visan, The focusing energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimensions five and higher preprint.
- [20] S. Levandosky and W. Strauss, Time Decay for the Nonlinear Beam Equation. *Meth. and Appl. of Anal.* Vol. 7 No.3 (2000), 479-488.
- [21] J. Lin and W. Strauss, Decay and Scattering of solutions of a Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation. *J. Funct. Anal.* 30(1978), 245-263.
- [22] C.S. Morawetz. Time decay for the Nonlinear Klein-Gordon Equation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* 306(1968), 291-296.
- [23] C. Miao, G. Xu, and L. Zhao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the defocusing energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations of fourth order in the radial case, *J. Differential Equations* 246(2009), 3715-3749.
- [24] C. Miao, G. Xu, and L. Zhao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the focusing energy critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations of fourth order in dimensions $d \geq 9$, preprint.
- [25] C. Miao, and B. Zhang. Global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for nonlinear Schrödinger-type equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 17(2007), 181-200.
- [26] K. Nakanishi. Energy Scattering for Nonlinear Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger Equations in Spatial Dimensions 1 and 2. *J. Funct. Anal.* 169(1999):201-225.
- [27] B. Pausader, Global Well-Posedness for Energy Critical Fourth-Order Schrödinger Equations in the Radial Case. *Dynamics of PDE*, Vol.4, No.3, 197-225, 2007.
- [28] B. Pausader, The Cubic Fourth-order Schrödinger Equation, arXiv:0807.4916v2.
- [29] B. Pausader, Scattering for the Beam Equation in Low Dimensions arXiv:0903.3777v1.
- [30] J. Segata, Modified wave operators for the fourth-order non-linear Schrödinger-type equation with cubic non-linearity. *Math. Meth. in the Appl. Sci.*, 26(2006), 1785C1800.

- [31] T. Tao, Nonlinear Dispersive Equations, local and global analysis, CBMS Regional Series in Mathematics, no.106, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI,2006.
- [32] T. Tao, M. Visan and X. Zhang. The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations with combined Power-type Nonlinearities, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 32 (2007), 1281-1343.
- [33] M. Visan and X. Zhang. Global Well-posedness and Scattering for a Class of Nonlinear Schrödinger Equations below the Energy Space, Differential Integral Equations 22 (2009),99-124.